ENCLOSURE A15

_____ to be (his) (her) superior commissioned officer (in command) (in rank), who was then in the execution of (his) (her) office.

(4) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer.

In that _____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about _____ 20 __, (a time of war) offer violence against _____, his/ her superior commissioned officer (in command) (in rank), then known by the said _____ to be (his) (her) superior commissioned officer (in command) (in rank), who was then in the execution of (his) (her) office, by

16. Article 90 (10 U.S.C. 890)—Willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer

a. Text of statute.

Any person subject to this chapter who willfully disobeys a lawful command of that person's superior commissioned officer shall be punished—

- (1) if the offense is committed in time of war, by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct; and
- (2) if the offense is committed at any other time, by such punishment, other than death, as a courtmartial may direct.

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused received a lawful command from a superior commissioned officer;
- (2) That this officer was the superior commissioned officer of the accused;
- (3) That the accused then knew that this officer was the accused's superior commissioned officer; and
- (4) That the accused willfully disobeyed the lawful command.

[Note: if the offense was committed in time of war, add the following element]

- (5) That the offense was committed in time of war.c. Explanation.
- (1) Superior commissioned officer. The definition in subparagraph 15.c.(1) applies here.
 - (2) Disobeying superior commissioned officer.
 - (a) Lawfulness of the order.
- (i) Inference of lawfulness. An order requiring the performance of a military duty or act may be

inferred to be lawful, and it is disobeyed at the peril of the subordinate. This inference does not apply to a patently illegal order, such as one that directs the commission of a crime.

- (ii) Determination of lawfulness. The lawfulness of an order is a question of law to be determined by the military judge.
- (iii) Authority of issuing officer. The commissioned officer issuing the order must have authority to give such an order. Authorization may be based on law, regulation, custom of the Service, or applicable order to direct, coordinate, or control the duties, activities, health, welfare, morale, or discipline of the accused.
- (iv) Relationship to military duty. The order must relate to military duty, which includes all activities reasonably necessary to accomplish a military mission, or safeguard or promote the morale, discipline, and usefulness of members of a command and directly connected with the maintenance of good order in the Service. The order may not, without such a valid military purpose, interfere with private rights or personal affairs. However, the dictates of a person's conscience, religion, or personal philosophy cannot justify or excuse the disobedience of an otherwise lawful order. Disobedience of an order which has for its sole object the attainment of some private end, or which is given for the sole purpose of increasing the penalty for an offense which it is expected the accused may commit, is not punishable under this article.
- (v) Relationship to statutory or constitutional rights. The order must not conflict with the statutory or constitutional rights of the person receiving the order.
- (b) Personal nature of the order. The order must be directed specifically to the subordinate. Violations of regulations, standing orders or directives, or failure to perform previously established duties are not punishable under this article, but may violate Article 92.
- (c) Form and transmission of the order. As long as the order is understandable, the form of the order is immaterial, as is the method by which it is transmitted to the accused.
- (d) Specificity of the order. The order must be a specific mandate to do or not to do a specific act. An exhortation to "obey the law" or to perform one's military duty does not constitute an order under this article.

- (e) Knowledge. The accused must have actual knowledge of the order and of the fact that the person issuing the order was the accused's superior commissioned officer. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (f) Nature of the disobedience. "Willful disobedience" is an intentional defiance of authority. Failure to comply with an order through heedlessness, remissness, or forgetfulness is not a violation of this article but may violate Article 92.
- (g) Time for compliance. When an order requires immediate compliance, an accused's declared intent not to obey and the failure to make any move to comply constitutes disobedience. Immediate compliance is required for any order that does not explicitly or implicitly indicate that delayed compliance is authorized or directed. If an order requires performance in the future, an accused's present statement of intention to disobey the order does not constitute disobedience of that order, although carrying out that intention may.
- (3) Civilians and discharged prisoners. A discharged prisoner or other civilian subject to military law (see Article 2) and under the command of a commissioned officer is subject to the provisions of this article.
- d. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Willfully disobeying a lawful order of superior commissioned officer in time of war. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- (2) At any other time. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- e. Sample specification.

In that	_ (personal jurisdiction data),
having received a law	ful command from,
(his) (her) superior co	ommissioned officer, then known
by the said	to be (his) (her) superior
commissioned officer	, to, or words to that
effect, did, (at/on boa	rd—location), on or about
20, willfully disob	ey the same.

- 17. Article 91 (10 U.S.C. 891)—Insubordinate conduct toward warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer
- a. Text of statute.

Any warrant officer or enlisted member who-

- (1) strikes or assaults a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer, while that officer is in the execution of his office;
- (2) willfully disobeys the lawful order of a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer; or
- (3) treats with contempt or is disrespectful in language or deportment toward a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer, while that officer is in the execution of his office;

shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.

b. Elements.

- (1) Striking or assaulting warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (a) That the accused was a warrant officer or enlisted member;
- (b) That the accused struck or assaulted a certain warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer,
- (c) That the striking or assault was committed while the victim was in the execution of office; and
- (d) That the accused then knew that the person struck or assaulted was a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.

[Note: If the victim was the superior noncommissioned or petty officer of the accused, add the following elements]

- (e) That the victim was the superior noncommissioned, or petty officer of the accused; and
- (f) That the accused then knew that the person struck or assaulted was the accused's superior noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (2) Disobeying a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (a) That the accused was a warrant officer or enlisted member;
- (b) That the accused received a certain lawful order from a certain warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (c) That the accused then knew that the person giving the order was a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (d) That the accused had a duty to obey the order; and
 - (e) That the accused willfully disobeyed the order.
- (3) Treating with contempt or being disrespectful in language or deportment toward a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.

IV-25