

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

MICHAEL J. FORBES, *pro se.*

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES

Defendant.

No. 1:2024-cv-01953

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION

FOR ENTRY OF SCHEDULING ORDER

(Judge Hadji)

This 21th day of November, 2025

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE

1. On November 21, 2025, the Defendant requested and/or asserted to this Court the following; the Defendant:
 - a. asserted that it “attempted in good faith to confer with...” the Plaintiff;
 - b. requested a new scheduling order, and;
 - c. requested submission of a new Administrative Record;
2. The Plaintiff stance on these matters, are as follows:
 - a. it is clear that the Defendant has not done their due diligence with the time allotted to them by this Court, and
 - b. the Plaintiff does not agree with another extension of time to answer as the Defendant’s Amended Complaint (ECF 36).

The only circumstance that the Plaintiff would agree to any extension of time would be if the Defendant is requesting arbitration towards a settlement agreement.

Nov 21, 2025

Date _____

W. K. R.

Signature of Plaintiff

614 Northampton Road
Fayetteville, NC 28303

Cell: (910) 336-5966
Email: forbes2024cfc@yahoo.com